Dear Mr. Levy:

We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of Freedom PAC and Friends of Mike H, concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign Act (the “Act”) and Commission regulations to a proposed contribution by Friends of Mike H, the principal campaign committee of former Federal candidate Mike Haridopolos, to Freedom PAC, an independent expenditure-only committee.

The Commission concludes that Friends of Mike H may use campaign funds raised for Mr. Haridopolos’ primary election to make a contribution of $10,000 or more to Freedom PAC.

**Background**

The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on September 24, email received on October 2, 2012, and publicly available information on the Commission’s website.

Freedom PAC is a nonconnected political committee that makes only independent expenditures. It does not make contributions to Federal candidates or political committees. Freedom PAC makes decisions about the raising and spending of its funds “without the donor providing advice [or] guidance, or having any operational control.”

Friends of Mike H is the principal campaign committee of Mike Haridopolos. Mike Haridopolos was a candidate in Florida’s 2012 Senate primary election but
withdrew his candidacy before the primary election, which was held on August 14, 2012. He was not a candidate in the 2012 general election and does not hold any Federal office.

Friends of Mike H reported having more than $1 million cash on hand as of June 30, 2012 and would like to contribute some of those funds to Freedom PAC. Friends of Mike H will contribute only funds received for Mr. Haridopolos’ primary election campaign. The requestors represent that Friends of Mike H will not direct, control, or in any way be involved in the specific content, timing, or target audience of Freedom PAC’s communications.

Questions Presented

1. May Freedom PAC accept contributions of $10,000 or more in excess funds from Friends of Mike H, after the candidate has withdrawn from the election and is no longer seeking Federal office?

2. If the answer to Question 1 is no, may Freedom PAC accept a contribution of up to $5,000 from the aforementioned committee?

Legal Analysis and Conclusions

Question 1: May Freedom PAC accept contributions of $10,000 or more in excess funds from Friends of Mike H, after the candidate has withdrawn from the election and is no longer seeking Federal office?

Yes, Freedom PAC may accept contributions of $10,000 or more in excess funds from Friends of Mike H.

The Act and Commission regulations identify six categories of permissible uses of contributions accepted by a Federal candidate, including “for any other lawful purpose.” 2 U.S.C. 439a(a)(6); 11 CFR 113.2(e). A principal campaign committee’s use of its campaign funds to make contributions to other political committees is a lawful purpose. See 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1)(C); 11 CFR 110.1(d); see also, e.g., Advisory Opinions 2012-06 (RickPerry.org) (funding the activities of a converted nonconnected committee with remaining primary election funds); 1988-41 (Stratton) (transfer of campaign funds from a principal campaign committee to other political committees).

Contributions accepted by a candidate may not, however, be converted to the “personal use” of any person. 2 U.S.C. 439a(b)(1); 11 CFR 113.1(g). Conversion to personal use occurs when funds in a campaign account of a present or former candidate are used “to fulfill a commitment, obligation or expense of any person that would exist irrespective of the candidate’s campaign or duties as a Federal officeholder.” 11 CFR 113.1(g); see also 2 U.S.C. 439a(b)(2).

Friends of Mike H plans to use campaign funds for a lawful purpose – that is, to make a contribution to Freedom PAC, a registered independent expenditure-only committee. Based on the facts of the request, there is no indication that the proposed contribution would result in the conversion of campaign funds to personal use or any other unlawful purpose. Accordingly, Friends of Mike H may use campaign funds accepted for Mr. Haridopolos’ primary election to make a contribution to Freedom PAC.

Contributions to nonconnected political committees are limited under the Act to $5,000 per year. 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1). Courts have held, however, that the Act’s amount limitations are generally unconstitutional as applied to contributions that will be used to finance independent activity. See SpeechNow.org v. FEC, 599 F.3d 686, 696 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (en banc); EMILY’s List v. FEC, 581 F.3d 1, 11 (D.C. Cir. 2009); see also Advisory Opinion 2010-11 (Commonsense Ten) (concluding that political committees may make unlimited contributions to independent expenditure-only committees).

The requestors ask whether Friends of Mike H may make a contribution in excess of $10,000 to Freedom PAC. Freedom PAC is a nonconnected, independent expenditure-only political committee. The funds contributed by Friends of Mike H to Freedom PAC will be used to fund independent activity. Accordingly, the contribution from Friends of Mike H to Freedom PAC may be in an amount of $10,000 or more.

Question 2: If the answer to Question 1 is no, may Freedom PAC accept a contribution of up to $5,000 from the aforementioned committee?

Because the Commission answered Question 1 in the affirmative, Question 2 is moot.

This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. See 2 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in this advisory opinion, then the requestors may not rely on that conclusion as support for its proposed activity. Any person involved in any specific transaction or activity which is indistinguishable in all its material aspects from the transaction or activity with respect to which this advisory opinion is rendered may rely on this advisory opinion. See 2 U.S.C. 437f(c)(1)(B). Please note the analysis or conclusions in this advisory opinion may be affected by subsequent developments in the law including, but not limited to, statutes, regulations, advisory opinions, and case law.

On behalf of the Commission,

(signed)
Caroline C. Hunter
Chair